



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE    | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/447,080   | 11/22/1999     | JOUNG-KYOU PARK      | 678-335-(P85        | 3345             |
| 7  | 590 01/27/2003 |                      |                     |                  |
| PAUL J FARRELL ESQ DILWORTH & BARRESE 333 EARLE OVINGTON BOULEVARD |                |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |
|  |                |                      | NGUYEN, FRANCIS N   |                  |
| UNIONDALE, NY 11553  |                |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |

2674
DATE MAILED: 01/27/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Application No. Applicant(s) 09/447.080 PARK ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit FRANCIS NGUYEN 2674 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) FRANCIS NGUYEN, Examiner. (2) MICHAEL MUSELLA. Applè Cout's Representation. Date of Interview: 23 January 2003. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative] Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_. Claim(s) discussed: 1-6. Identification of prior art discussed: Agreement with respect to the claims f(x) was reached. f(x) was not reached. f(x) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: \_\_\_\_. See attechment (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked). Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION

MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Jacoper Jacoper

Examiner's stantaure, if required



Art Unit: 2674

## Interview Summary

Per Applicant's Representative inquiry on claims 1-2, 5-6 in reference to Interview Summary on 6/13/2002, the examiner proposes the following:

1/Applicant's Submission of Amendment in response to Office Action (paper #13 mailed on 11/08/2002) adding new claims 7-10 that were claims 1-2, 5-6 originally.

The Office Action mailed on 11/08/2002 was provided based on submission of After Final Amendment Fax Copy provided on 5/16/2002. On the record, claims 1-2, 5-6 have been canceled per Applicant's instructions and claims 3-4 remain pending.

It is noted that Examiner previously provided a Final Office Action with rejections of claims 1-6, which was subsequently withdrawn. In response to Office Action mailed 11/08/2002, if applicant desires to submit original claims 1-2, 5-6 as new claims 7-10, such amendment will certainly be entered.

2/ Examiner will respond to said Amendment with a non-final Office Action in the next communication.

The Applicant's Representative is given a Fax copy of this interview summary. He will contact the Examiner on 1/24/2003.

1/27/03 -

PGS. RESULT The Trendent of the Wall